

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,112		01/25/2002	Kurt Osther	45579/56876	1887	
21874	7590	03/29/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
EDWARD P.O. BOX		GELL, LLP	MILLER, C	MILLER, CHERYL L		
BOSTON, MA 02205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3738		
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/20/2004	ć	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/057,112	OSTHER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cheryl Miller	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timediately and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	nuary 2006.						
	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
						Disposition of Claims	•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-32,40-42,52 and 58-68</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>29-32,40-42,32, 56-00 and 62-66</u> is/al	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-32,40-42,52, 58-60 and 62-68</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement						
	olookion roquii oliiokii	<u></u>					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	1					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) based upon an application filed in Sweden on July 28, 1999. A claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) cannot be based on said application, since the United States application was filed more than twelve months thereafter.

It is noted that application PCT WO 01/06949 A1 was received in the application, however the applicant has not claimed priority to such a linking application.

The applicant's current priority date is considered to be January 25, 2002.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 23, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-32, 40-42, and 52 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3738

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 29-32, 40-42, 52, 58-59, and 62-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (US 6,306,169 cited previously). Referring to claims 29 and 52, Lee discloses materials, or a kit for the repair of cartilage (col.1, lines 10-12), comprising a cartilage membrane (first matrix; col.5, lines 3-11), for application over a cavity (Lee's membrane is capable of being placed over a cavity; in another light, although may be disclosed to fill an entire cavity, the top portion of the membrane/matrix covers the cavity), the membrane comprising a surface part having a composition with a stimulation molecule (fibronectin, vitronectin, RGD, proteoglycans, etc., the same molecules disclosed by the applicant, are applied to the surface of the membrane, see col.5, lines 16-28) that induces a signal transduction in chondroblasts/chondrocytes (col.5, lines 18-20), and a suspension (gelled second matrix with cells; col.7, lines 5-12, 44-50) capable of filling the cavity (the suspension fills the cavity; although the suspension is disclosed to be throughout the matrix/membrane, the membrane is located within the cavity, thus as is the suspension, as for the kit claim 52, the cell suspension is a separate component before implantation and is capable of filling the cavity alone).

Referring to claims 31-32 and 62-64, Lee discloses the membrane (first matrix) to be biodegradable, porous, collagen I (col.12, lines 7; col.5, lines 3-12, 29-40).

Referring to claims 30, 40-42, 59, and 65-68, Lee discloses the stimulation molecule to be a protein, including fibronectin, or others, having an RGD motif (col.5, lines 18-25).

Art Unit: 3738

Referring to claim 58, Lee discloses the suspension to comprise a chondroblast/chondrocyte suspension (col.7, lines 5-12).

Claims 29-32, 40-42, 52, 58-59, 62, 63, and 65-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Minuth (US 6,187,053 B1, cited previously). Referring to claims 29 and 52, Minuth discloses materials, or a kit for the repair of cartilage (capable of repairing any defect in joint tissues, see fig. 1, 2), comprising a cartilage membrane (8), for application over a cavity (defect 3), the membrane (8) comprising a surface part having a composition (10) with a stimulation molecule (proteins, col.3, lines 25-32) that induces a signal transduction in chondroblasts/chondrocytes, and a suspension (cells 9 in medium) capable of filling the cavity (fig.2).

Referring to claims 31-32 and 62-63, Minuth discloses the membrane (8) to be biodegradable, porous, collagen (col.1, lines 35-40; col.3, lines 7-8).

Referring to claims 30, 40-42, 59, and 65-68, Minuth discloses the stimulation molecule to be a protein, including fibronectin, or others, having an RGD motif (inherently present in fibronectin; col.3, lines 25-32).

Referring to claim 58, Minuth discloses the suspension to comprise a chondroblast/chondrocyte suspension (col.3, lines 15-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3738

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29-32, 40-42, 52, 58-60, and 62-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Vibe-Hansen et al. (US 5,989,269, cited previously) or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lee et al. (US 6,306,169, cited previously). Referring to claims 29 and 52, Vibe-Hansen discloses a materials and kit for cartilage repair (col.2, lines 35-40) comprising a cartilage membrane (covering patch 2) having at least one surface part carrying a composition (Tissel, Tissucol, or Adhesive Protein; col.5, lines 32-37) comprising at least one stimulation molecule (fibronectin; col.6, lines 55-55), which induces a signal transduction in chondroblasts/chondrocytes (fibronectin and fibrinogen, col.5 lines 7-10, are both in or attached to the patch 2, and inherently induce a signal transduction in chondrocytes; even though they are elements of the Tisseel adhesive, and applicant has argued that they will not create a signal transduction, this in non-persuasive, because applicant has listed specifically fibronectin and fibrinogen in claim 30 to be elements which are non-collageneous proteins that induce a signal transduction, and Vibe-Hansen has disclosed the exact elements and therefore, Vibe-Hansen has disclosed what the applicant has claimed), and a suspension (3) capable of filling a cavity. In the alternative, if not inherent that fibrinogen in the compositions of Vibe-Hansen would cause a signal transduction, it would have been obvious for the reasons below. Although Vibe-Hansen discloses a cartilage membrane for repairing a defect in cartilage, Vibe-Hansen does not disclose a surface composition with a signal tranducing molecule. Lee teaches in the same field of repairing cartilage defects, use of a coating composition (coating) with a signal transducing

Art Unit: 3738

molecule (fibronectin, vitronectin, RGD, etc) on the surface of cartilage membrane (first matrix), in order to modify the surface properties of the membrane, causing influence on cell attachment and differentiation (thus signal transduction; col.5, lines 3-25). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Lee's teaching of placing a signal transducing molecule coating on a cartilage membrane, with the cartilage membrane of Vibe-Hansen, in order to provide an implant having modified surface properties, such as increased cell attachment and differentiation.

Referring to dependent claims 31-32 and 62-64, Vibe-Hansen discloses a non-immunogenic, non-toxic, biodegradable, substantially porous membrane made of collagen I (col.3, lines 8-11; col.5, lines 29-31).

Referring to dependent claims 30, 40-42, 59, and 65-68, Vibe-Hansen discloses the stimulation molecule to comprise fibronectin (which inherently comprises RGD; col.5, lines 32-36; col.6, lines 45-55), and in the alternative, Lee discloses such stimulation molecules (col.5, lines 15-25).

Referring to claim 58, Vibe-Hansen discloses a chondroblast/chondrocyte suspension (3; col.4, lines 53-55).

Referring to claim 60, Vibe-Hansen discloses an interface membrane (1; hemostatic barrier) for application over a cavity (is capable of being placed over a cavity) having two surface parts (top and bottom) each having stimulating molecules (fibrinogen, in Tissel; see above; or coating of Lee in the alternative) for chrondrocytes and osteocytes respectively, and a suspension capable of filling a cavity (3; same suspension used before may be used again; or a portion of the first suspension may be used for the second suspension).

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 61 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-4755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cheryl Miller

MUUA

BRUCE SNOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER